(b) 1. Collected 691 candies.
To be collected 109 candies.
2. Collected 1,136 candies.
To be collected 1,464 candies.

(c) Collected 2,500 candies.
To be collected nil.

(4) (a) Yes.

(b) *Names of the coupes.* | *Teak.* | *Rosewood.*

Behind	C1 coupe	439	412
,,	D9 ,,	5	,,
,,	D1 ,,	207	19
,,	D3 ,,	264	16
,,	D4 ,,	203	22
,,	D11 ,,	219	91
,,	D6 ,,	19	15
,,	D10 ,,	108	24

D1, D4, D9 coupes and area opposite C3 coupe.	*White cedar.*	*Kora-marudu.*	*Pulia-marudu.*	*Irul.*
	61	173	88	156

(5) Yes. A list giving the particulars is placed on the Council Table. The number is given under the answer to 4 (b).

(6) All the timber was collected from within 3 miles from the Tram-line. The collections were as 'logs' and not as 'trees'. The number of logs collected under 14 *vannams* is:—

1.	Teak	1,045	logs.
2.	Rosewood	65	logs.
3.	White cedar	54	logs.
4.	Koramarudu	112	logs.
5.	Pullamarudu	54	logs.
6.	Irul	180	logs.

None was treated as toplings.

Narangampara coupe.

204. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Will the Government be pleased (1) to state how many trees were marked to be cut for fuel in the Narangampara coupe for 1103;

and how many logs were removed by the contractor in 1103 and 1104;

and how many still remain to be removed by the contractor;

of the above, how many toplings were removed by the contractor and how many toplings still remain to be removed;

how many trees were cut by the contractor;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(2) to place on the Table the lists of timber (a) marked for cutting (b) measured (c) stacked and remaining to be measured ;

(3) what kind of timber was notified to be removed for fuel and what the kind of timber actually felled ?

Mr. K. Ramunni Menon.—(1) 1,057 trees were marked to be cut for fuel.

1,023 logs were removed in 1103 and nothing in 1104.

1,765 pieces of timber.

378 toplings were removed by the contractor and nothing remains to be removed.

1,057 trees.

(2) Copies of lists of timber (a), (b) and (c) are placed on the Council Table.

(3) Junglewood timber first notified but subsequently unsound monopoly timber were also allowed to be felled.

205. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Will the Government be pleased to state if teak and rosewood were cut and stacked for measurement or removed in the Narangampara coupe and what is the approximate value and quantity of each ;

(2) was the Diwan's sanction obtained for the same; if so, when and what are the orders of the Diwan in the matter ;

(3) how much money was realised by the sale of monopoly trees in 1103 in this coupe ?

Mr. K. Ramunni Menon.—(1) In the absence of particulars regarding the year or years for which the information is required it is not possible to answer the question.

(2) No answer is necessary in view of the reply to (1).

(3) Rs. 5,028—5—3.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—The answer to the first part of this question is 'in the absence of particulars regarding the year or years for which the information is required, it is not possible to answer the question.' In the previous question it has been answered that 'junglewood timber was first notified but subsequently unsound monopoly timber were also allowed to be felled.' So the felling must be after the second order and so it is not necessary to state the year. The unsound monopoly timber was allowed to be cut only after that ?

President.—You follow the question, Mr. Ramunni Menon

Mr. K. Ramunni Menon.—Yes.

President.—The question refers to unsound monopoly timber cut. They could be cut only after the Birthday announcement.

Mr. K. Ramunni Menon.—They were cut long before.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

President.—Does the question refer to monopoly trees mentioned in the Birthday announcement?

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Yes. The answer to sub-division (3) of the previous question is 'junglewood timber was first notified but subsequently unsonud monopoly timber were also allowed to be felled.' So this must be after the notification. So what is the meaning in saying that we want some information as to the year or years before the answer is given?

Mr. K. Ramunni Menon.—This matter refers to the Reserved Forests.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—It is Narangampara coupe which is referred to.

Mr. K. Ramunni Menon.—It is a Reserved Forest.

President.—You must be thoroughly conversant with all the facts. It is a matter of detail.

Mr. K. Ramunni Menon.—I have not clearly understood the question.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Question 204 (3) is 'what kind of timber was notified to be removed for fuel and what the kind of timber actually felled,' and the answer is 'junglewood timber was first notified but subsequently unsound monopoly timber were also allowed to be felled.' The next question is with regard to the trees, that is, monopoly timber in the Narangampara coupe, 'if teak and rosewood were cut and stacked for measurement or removed in the Narangampara coupe and what is the approximate value and quantity of each; was the Diwan's sanction obtained for the same; if so, when and what are the orders of the Diwan in the matter; how much money was realised by the sale of monopoly trees in 1103 in this coupe'. My information is that no sanction was obtained, and this monopoly timber was cut, and when this question reached the Government, there is a shifting of ground, and I do not know why they did not give the particulars.

President.—In answer to question No. 3 reference is made to unsound monopoly timber. Junglewood was first notified but subsequently unsound monopoly timber were also allowed to be felled,' and the subsequent question, 205, relates to that reference, only to these unsound monopoly trees. So all the sub-divisions relate to these unsound monopoly timber, and so why do you want particulars regarding year or years to answer the question? The complaint is first junglewood was allowed to be cut for fuel. Afterwards, without obtaining the sanction of the Diwan, unsound monopoly trees were also allowed to be cut along with these. To justify that, should not the Diwan's sanction have been obtained, whether the Diwan's sanction was applied for and obtained, if it was not obtained, was it not neces-

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

sary to apply for Diwan's sanction before the timber was cut, and if it was necessary, why is it that there is this irregularity?

Mr. K. Ramunni Menon.—I am not sure about it. I want notice.

President.—The question has been understood in the proper light, so that it is not quite correct to say that, in the absence of particulars regarding the year or years, it is not possible to answer the question. That may not be the correct answer. The reference is to what was stated in regard to the unsound monopoly trees.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.— I will give you fresh notice.

Article in the 'Chitrabhanu' containing certain allegations about the Forest Department.

206. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—(a) Will the Government be pleased to state if its attention was drawn to the article "വനപാലനം" in the 'Chitrabhanu' newspaper in its issue dated 13th Vrischigam 1104;

(b) does not the Government propose to take any action on the allegations in it?

Mr. K. Ramunni Menon.—(a) Yes.

(b) Necessary action has been taken.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—May I know what is the action taken in the matter?

Mr. K. Ramunni Menon.—The explanation of the subordinates has been called for and the matter is pending.

Preparation of marking list for the felling and collection of teak.

207. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Will the Government be pleased to state who was the officer who prepared the marking list of trees for the collection of 9,000 candies of teak and rosewood referred to in question No. 609 of the Legislative Session of 1104 and will the said list be placed on the Table of the House

Mr. K. Ramunni Menon.—The Forester in charge of the locality prepared it. The Government placed the list referred to once on the Council Table in connection with the reply to sub-division 10 of question No. 25 of the Special Session of 1104. The copy of the list is once more placed on the Council Table.

Vellani coupe.

208. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Will the Government be pleased to furnish the following information with regard to the Vellani coupe:—

(1) has the contractor started work in the coupe;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly